

On \mathbb{Z}_4 Codes Satisfying the Chain Condition (Version 1.2)

Manish K. Gupta *

Department of Mathematics, University of Canterbury,
Private Bag 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand
Email:m.k.gupta@ieee.org

February 6, 2002

Abstract

The concept of the chain condition was introduced by Wei and Yang [35] for binary linear codes and later studied by many others for linear codes over finite fields (see [19, 18, 13] and the references therein). The chain condition is important in applications as more can be said about the trellis description of codes that satisfy the chain condition and the trellis description leads to simple soft-decision decoding algorithm for the code [12, 33]. Thus the results on chain condition are of interest in implementing the respective code. In [5] the concept of chain condition was investigated for codes over the ring of integers modulo p^s and it was shown that \mathbb{Z}_4 -simplex codes of both type (α and β) and the quaternary Reed-Muller code $ZRM(1, m)$ satisfy the chain condition (see also [7]). In this note it is shown that various known self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 satisfy the chain condition. In particular we have shown that all self-dual codes of length up to 9, Klemm codes and lifted Golay code QR_{24} satisfy the chain condition. In this process we determine the complete weight hierarchy of several codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 .

Keywords: Self-dual codes, codes over rings, chain condition, trellis description, soft-decision decoding, weight hierarchies.

*This work was partially supported by the Marsden fund of the Royal Society of New Zealand and was presented in part at 25th ACCMCC conference held at the Department of Mathematics, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand. A part of it also appears in author's PhD Thesis [6].

1 Introduction

The concept of chain condition for codes over finite fields, especially binary and ternary fields including their relationship to trellis description, soft-decision decoding and efficient coordinate ordering has been studied very well (see [28, 26, 24, 23, 22, 18, 17, 15, 13, 12] etc.) Recently, codes over rings have increased in importance, generating much interest, for example see [8, 6] etc. The concept of chain condition for linear codes over $GF(q)$ was also found useful in expressing weight hierarchies of a product code in terms of the weight hierarchies of its component codes. In [6] this concept has been investigated for codes over \mathbb{Z}_p^s . In this note, various classes of self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 that satisfy the chain condition have been identified.

A linear code \mathcal{C} , of length n , over \mathbb{Z}_4 is an additive subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_4^n . An element of \mathcal{C} is called a *codeword* of \mathcal{C} and a *generator matrix* of \mathcal{C} is a matrix whose rows generate \mathcal{C} . The *Hamming weight* $w_H(x)$ of a vector x in \mathbb{Z}_4^n is the number of non-zero components. The *Lee weight* $w_L(x)$ of a vector $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is $\sum_{i=1}^n \min\{|x_i|, |4 - x_i|\}$. The *Euclidean weight* $w_E(x)$ of a vector x is $\sum_{i=1}^n \min\{x_i^2, (4 - x_i)^2\}$. The Euclidean weight is useful in connection with lattice constructions. The Hamming, Lee and Euclidean distances $d_H(x, y)$, $d_L(x, y)$ and $d_E(x, y)$ between two vectors x and y are $w_H(x - y)$, $w_L(x - y)$ and $w_E(x - y)$, respectively. The minimum Hamming, Lee and Euclidean weights, d_H, d_L and d_E , of \mathcal{C} are the smallest Hamming, Lee and Euclidean weights among all non-zero codewords of \mathcal{C} , respectively.

A linear code \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{Z}_4 , is said to be a code of *type* $\alpha(\beta)$ if $d_H = \lceil \frac{d_L}{2} \rceil$ ($d_H > \lceil \frac{d_L}{2} \rceil$)[7]. The *Gray map* $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_4^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}$ is the coordinate-wise extension of the function from \mathbb{Z}_4 to \mathbb{Z}_2^2 defined by $0 \rightarrow (0, 0), 1 \rightarrow (0, 1), 2 \rightarrow (1, 1)$ and $3 \rightarrow (1, 0)$. Thus $\phi(\mathcal{C})$, the image of a linear code \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{Z}_4 of length n by the Gray map is a binary code of length $2n$.

The *dual code* \mathcal{C}^\perp of \mathcal{C} is defined as $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n \mid x \cdot y = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{C}\}$ where $x \cdot y$ is the standard inner product of x and y . \mathcal{C} is *self-orthogonal* if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\perp$ and \mathcal{C} is *self-dual* if $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\perp$.

Two codes are said to be *equivalent* if one can be obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates and (if necessary) changing the signs of certain coordinates. Codes differing by only a permutation of coordinates are called *permutation-equivalent*.

In this paper we investigate the concept of chain condition for various type α and β codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 . Section 2 contains some preliminaries and notations. Main results are given in Section 3. Section 4 concludes with an important conjecture.

2 Preliminaries and Notations

Any linear code \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{Z}_4 is permutation-equivalent to a code with generator matrix G of the form

$$(1) \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} I_{k_0} & A & B_1 + 2B_2 \\ 0 & 2I_{k_1} & 2C \end{bmatrix}$$

where A, B_1, B_2 and C are matrices with entries 0 or 1 and I_k is the identity matrix of order k . One can associate two binary linear codes with \mathcal{C} viz. the *residue code*

$$\mathcal{C}^{(1)} = \{\mathbf{c} \pmod{2} \mid \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

and the *torsion code*

$$\mathcal{C}^{(2)} = \{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \mid 2\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

A vector \mathbf{v} is a *2-linear combination* of the vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ if $\mathbf{v} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. A subset $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ of \mathcal{C} is called a *2-basis* for \mathcal{C} if for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, $2\mathbf{v}_i$ is a 2-linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$, $2\mathbf{v}_k = 0$, \mathcal{C} is the 2-linear span of S and S is 2-linearly independent [34]. The number of elements in a 2-basis for \mathcal{C} is called the *2-dimension* of \mathcal{C} . It is easy to verify that the rows of the matrix

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{k_0} & A & B_1 + 2B_2 \\ 2I_{k_0} & 2A & 2B_1 \\ 0 & 2I_{k_1} & 2C \end{bmatrix}$$

form a 2-basis for the code \mathcal{C} generated by G given in (1).

A linear code \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{Z}_4 (over \mathbb{Z}_2) of length n , 2-dimension k , minimum Hamming distance d_H and minimum Lee distance d_L is called an $[n, k, d_H, d_L]$ ($[n, k, d_H]$) or simply an $[n, k]$ code. For $1 \leq r \leq k$, the *r-th Generalized Hamming weight* of \mathcal{C} is defined by

$$d_r(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{w_S(D_r) \mid D_r \text{ is an } [n, r] \text{ subcode of } \mathcal{C}\},$$

where $w_S(D)$, called *support size* of D , is the number of coordinates in which some codeword of D has a nonzero entry. The set $\{d_1(\mathcal{C}), d_2(\mathcal{C}), \dots, d_k(\mathcal{C})\}$ is called the *weight hierarchy* of \mathcal{C} . \mathcal{C} is said to satisfy the *chain condition* if there exists a chain

$$D_1 \subseteq D_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq D_k,$$

of subcodes of \mathcal{C} satisfying $w_S(D_r) = d_r(\mathcal{C})$, $1 \leq r \leq k$.

A relation between $d_r(\mathcal{C})$ and $d_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$ is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1 [1] *Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k]$ linear code over \mathbb{Z}_4 . Then*

$$\{d_r(\mathcal{C}) : 1 \leq r \leq k\} = \{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\} \setminus \{n+1 - d_r(\mathcal{C}^\perp) : 1 \leq r \leq 2n - k\}.$$

3 Self-Dual and Self-Orthogonal Codes

Self-dual and self-orthogonal codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 were recently studied by several researchers like Bonnecaze, Conway, Harada, Pless, Quian, Rains and Sloane etc. (see [3, 2, 29, 9, 30, 31] etc.). They have been classified by Conway and Sloane up to lengths 9 in [3]. At length $n = 1$ the smallest self-dual code $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{0, 2\}$ trivially satisfies the chain condition. Also for each length n we have the trivial self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 with generator matrix $G = [2I_n]$. These codes satisfy the chain condition as they are direct sum of n copies of \mathcal{A}_1 . Any code having \mathcal{A}_1 as a direct summand is called *trivially decomposable*. Let \mathcal{C} be a linear code over \mathbb{Z}_4 . A codeword $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to be a *tetrad* if it has exactly four coordinates congruent to 1 or 3 (mod 4) and the rest congruent to 0 (mod 4).

Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Let \mathcal{D}_{2m} be the $[2m, 2m - 2, 4, 4]$ type β code generated by the $(m - 1) \times 2m$ matrix

$$(3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

and let \mathcal{D}_{2m}^0 be the $[2m, 2m - 1]$ code generated by \mathcal{D}_{2m} and the tetrad 1300...0011. Equivalently \mathcal{D}_{2m}^0 is generated by the matrix (see [3])

$$(4) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let \mathcal{D}_{2m}^+ be the $[2m, 2m - 1]$ code generated by \mathcal{D}_{2m} and 00...0022 and let \mathcal{D}_{2m}^\oplus be the code generated by \mathcal{D}_{2m}^0 and \mathcal{D}_{2m}^+ . Note that \mathcal{D}_{2m}^\oplus is a $[2m, 2m]$ self-dual code([3]).

Let \mathcal{E}_7 be the $[7, 6, 4, 4]$ type β code generated by the matrix

$$(5) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

and let \mathcal{E}_7^+ be the $[7, 7, 4, 4]$ type β code generated by \mathcal{E}_7 and 2222222. It was observed in [3] that \mathcal{E}_7^+ is a self-dual code and the reduction code of both \mathcal{E}_7 and \mathcal{E}_7^+ is the Hamming code of length 7. They also show that the code \mathcal{E}_8 generated by the matrix

$$(6) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a $[8, 8, 4, 4]$ self dual code. Note that it is a type β code.

In [3] Conway and Sloane have shown that any self-orthogonal code over \mathbb{Z}_4 generated by 'tetrads' is equivalent to a direct sum of codes $\mathcal{D}_{2m}, \mathcal{D}_{2m}^0, \mathcal{D}_{2m}^+, \mathcal{D}_{2m}^\oplus (m = 1, 2, \dots), \mathcal{E}_7, \mathcal{E}_7^+, \mathcal{E}_8$. The following Theorem shows that $\mathcal{D}_{2m}, \mathcal{E}_7, \mathcal{E}_7^+$ and \mathcal{E}_8 satisfy the chain condition.

Theorem 2 $\mathcal{D}_{2m}, \mathcal{E}_7, \mathcal{E}_7^+$ and \mathcal{E}_8 satisfies chain conditions.

Proof. It is easy to verify that the weight hierarchy of \mathcal{E}_7 is $\{4, 4, 6, 6, 7, 7\}$. Consider the codewords $\mathbf{x}_1 = (1101003), \mathbf{x}_2 = (2111030)$ and $\mathbf{x}_3 = (3023132)$ of \mathcal{E}_7 . Let $D_1 = \langle 2\mathbf{x}_1 \rangle, D_2 = \langle \mathbf{x}_1, 2\mathbf{x}_1 \rangle, D_3 = \langle \mathbf{x}_1, 2\mathbf{x}_1, 2\mathbf{x}_2 \rangle, D_4 = \langle \mathbf{x}_1, 2\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, 2\mathbf{x}_2 \rangle, D_5 = \langle \mathbf{x}_1, 2\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, 2\mathbf{x}_2, 2\mathbf{x}_3 \rangle, \text{ and } D_6 = \langle \mathbf{x}_1, 2\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, 2\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, 2\mathbf{x}_3 \rangle$. It is easy to verify that $D_1 \subseteq D_2 \dots \subseteq D_6$ is the required chain of subcodes.

Therefore for \mathcal{E}_7^+ the required chain of subcodes can be taken as $D_1 \subseteq D_2 \dots \subseteq D_6 \subseteq D_7$ where D_i for $1 \leq i \leq 6$ are the subcodes defined for \mathcal{E}_7 and

$D_7 = \langle \mathbf{x}_1, 2\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, 2\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, 2\mathbf{x}_3, 2222222 \rangle$. Hence \mathcal{E}_7^+ satisfies the chain condition and its weight hierarchy is $\{4, 4, 6, 6, 7, 7, 8\}$. Clearly, the weight hierarchy of \mathcal{E}_8 is $\{4, 4, 6, 6, 7, 7, 8, 8\}$. If $R_i (1 \leq i \leq 4)$ denote the first four rows of the matrix given in (6) and if $D_1 = \langle 2R_4 \rangle, D_2 = \langle R_4, 2R_4 \rangle, D_3 = \langle 2R_3, R_4, 2R_4 \rangle, D_4 = \langle R_3, 2R_3, R_4, 2R_4 \rangle, \dots, D_8 = \langle R_1, 2R_1, \dots, R_3, 2R_3, R_4, 2R_4 \rangle$ then $D_1 \subseteq D_2 \dots \subseteq D_8$ and $w_s(D_r) = d_r(\mathcal{E}_8)$.

It is easy to see that the code \mathcal{D}_{2m} has weight hierarchy $\{4, 4, 6, 6, 8, 8, \dots, 2m-2, 2m-2, 2m, 2m\}$. Let R_1, \dots, R_{m-1} be the first $m-1$ rows of the matrix given in (3) and let $D_1 = \langle 2R_1 \rangle, D_2 = \langle R_1, 2R_1 \rangle, \dots, D_{2m-3} = \langle R_1, 2R_1, \dots, R_{m-2}, 2R_{m-2}, 2R_{m-1} \rangle, D_{2m-2} = \langle R_1, 2R_1, \dots, R_{m-2}, 2R_{m-2}, R_{m-1}, 2R_{m-1} \rangle$. Then $D_1 \subseteq D_2 \dots \subseteq D_{2m-2}$ and $w_s(D_r) = d_r(\mathcal{D}_{2m}), 1 \leq r \leq 2m-2$. \square

At length $n = 4$ there is a type α code $\mathcal{D}_4^\oplus : [4, 4, 2, 4]$ generated by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and at length $n = 6$ we have $\mathcal{D}_6^\oplus : [6, 6, 2, 4]$ type α code generated by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally at length $n = 8$ we have $\mathcal{D}_8^\oplus : [8, 8, 2, 4]$ type α code generated by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem 3 $\mathcal{D}_4^\oplus, \mathcal{D}_6^\oplus, \mathcal{D}_4^\oplus \oplus \mathcal{D}_4^\oplus, \mathcal{D}_8^\oplus$ satisfy the chain condition.

Proof. The weight hierarchies of all the codes are given in the Table 1. It is straightforward to find the possible subcodes of the first three codes. We give the subcodes of \mathcal{D}_8^\oplus . If $R_i, 1 \leq i \leq 5$ denote the first 5 rows then the subcodes are given by $\mathcal{D}_1 = \langle R_4 \rangle, \mathcal{D}_2 = \langle 2R_1, R_4 \rangle, \mathcal{D}_3 = \langle R_1, 2R_1, R_4 \rangle, \mathcal{D}_4 = \langle 2R_2, R_1, 2R_1, R_4 \rangle, \mathcal{D}_5 = \langle R_2, 2R_2, R_1, 2R_1, R_4 \rangle, \mathcal{D}_6 = \langle R_5, R_2, 2R_2, R_1, 2R_1, R_4 \rangle, \mathcal{D}_7 = \langle 2R_3, R_5, R_2, 2R_2, R_1, 2R_1, R_4 \rangle, \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_8 = \langle R_3, 2R_3, R_5, R_2, 2R_2, R_1, 2R_1, R_4 \rangle.$ Then $D_1 \subseteq D_2 \dots \subseteq D_8$ and $w_s(D_r) = d_r(QR_8), 1 \leq r \leq 8.$ \square

Table 1

Code	Weight hierarchy	Code	Weight hierarchy
\mathcal{D}_4^\oplus	$\{2, 3, 4, 4\}$	$\mathcal{D}_4^\oplus \oplus \mathcal{D}_4^\oplus$	$\{2, 3, 4, 4, 6, 7, 8, 8\}$
\mathcal{D}_6^\oplus	$\{2, 4, 5, 5, 6, 6\}$	\mathcal{D}_8^\oplus	$\{2, 4, 4, 6, 6, 7, 8, 8\}$

There is another self-dual code \mathcal{L}_8 of length 8 defined in [3]. \mathcal{L}_8 is $[8, 8, 2, 4]$ type α code generated by the matrix

$$(7) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 00 & 11 & 02 & 13 \\ 00 & 02 & 13 & 11 \\ 11 & 02 & 00 & 13 \\ 02 & 02 & 02 & 02 \\ 00 & 00 & 00 & 22 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proposition 1 \mathcal{L}_8 satisfies the chain condition.

Proof. It is easy to see that the weight hierarchy of \mathcal{L}_8 is $\{2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 7, 8, 8\}$. Let $\mathbf{x}_1 = (00000022), \mathbf{x}_2 = (11020013), \mathbf{x}_3 = (13000211), \mathbf{x}_4 = (02001131)$ and $\mathbf{x}_5 = (13021122)$ be the five codewords of \mathcal{L}_8 . Let $\mathcal{D}_1 = \langle \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle, \mathcal{D}_2 = \langle 2\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle, \mathcal{D}_3 = \langle \mathbf{x}_2, 2\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle, \mathcal{D}_4 = \langle \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_2, 2\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle, \mathcal{D}_5 = \langle 2\mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_2, 2\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle, \mathcal{D}_6 = \langle \mathbf{x}_4, 2\mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_2, 2\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle, \mathcal{D}_7 = \langle 2\mathbf{x}_5, \mathbf{x}_4, 2\mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_2, 2\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle, \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_8 = \langle \mathbf{x}_5, 2\mathbf{x}_5, \mathbf{x}_4, 2\mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_2, 2\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle.$ Then $D_1 \subseteq D_2 \dots \subseteq D_8$ and $w_s(D_r) = d_r(\mathcal{L}_8), 1 \leq r \leq 8.$ \square

Let $m \geq 1$. Let \mathcal{K}_{4m} be the $[4m, 4m, 2, 4]$ type α code generated by the $(4m - 1) \times 4m$ matrix

$$(8) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and let \mathcal{K}'_8 be the $[8, 8, 2, 4]$ type α code generated by the matrix

$$(9) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Both of these self dual codes can also be obtained from a labelled graph [3]. The code \mathcal{K}_{4m} was first constructed by Klemm [10].

Theorem 4 *The Klemm Code \mathcal{K}_{4m} ($m \geq 1$) and the code \mathcal{K}'_8 satisfy the chain condition and the weight hierarchy of \mathcal{K}_{4m} is given by*

$$(10) \quad d_r(\mathcal{K}_{4m}) = \begin{cases} r + 1, & 1 \leq r \leq 4m - 2, \\ 4m, & r = 4m - 1 \text{ or } 4m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that the weight hierarchy of the Klemm code is given by (10). Let R_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4m - 1$) be the first $4m - 1$ rows of (8). For $1 \leq r \leq 4m - 2$, let $D_r = \langle R_{4m-i} : 1 \leq i \leq r \rangle$ also let $\mathcal{D}_{4m-1} = \langle 2R_1, R_2, R_3, \dots, R_{4m-2}, R_{4m-1} \rangle$, $\mathcal{D}_{4m} = \langle R_1, 2R_1, R_2, R_3, \dots, R_{4m-2}, R_{4m-1} \rangle$. Then $D_1 \subseteq D_2 \dots \subseteq D_{4m}$ and $w_s(D_r) = d_r(\mathcal{K}_{4m})$, $1 \leq r \leq 4m$. For the code \mathcal{K}'_8 it can be easily checked that its weight hierarchy is $\{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 8\}$. Let $\mathbf{x}_1 = (00000022)$, $\mathbf{x}_2 = (00000202)$, $\mathbf{x}_3 = (00021111)$, $\mathbf{x}_4 = (00201111)$, $\mathbf{x}_5 = (02001111)$, $\mathbf{x}_6 = (20001111)$ and $\mathbf{x}_7 = (20001133)$ be the seven codewords of \mathcal{K}'_8 . Then $D_1 = \langle \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle$, $D_2 = \langle \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle$, $D_3 = \langle 2\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle$, $D_4 = \langle \mathbf{x}_3, 2\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle$, $D_5 = \langle \mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_3, 2\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle$, $D_6 = \langle \mathbf{x}_5, \mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_3, 2\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle$, $D_7 = \langle \mathbf{x}_6, \mathbf{x}_5, \mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_3, 2\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle$ and $D_8 = \langle \mathbf{x}_7, \mathbf{x}_6, \mathbf{x}_5, \mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_3, 2\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle$ form the required chain of subcodes. \square

Some of the self-dual \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes have the property that all Euclidean weights are multiple of 8 and they contain the all-one vector. These codes are called *Type-II* codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 (see [9], [3]). The key motivation to study these codes is that one can associate a Type-II even unimodular lattice via the construction $A \pmod{4}$ [32].

3.1 Quadratic Residue Codes QR_n

The Quadratic residue codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 form a well known family of Type-II codes. These codes are obtained by the Hensel uplifting of the binary quadratic residue codes [9]. If $n = q + 1$ and $q \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$ is a prime power then Pless and Quian have shown that an extended quadratic residue code QR_n of length n is a Type II code. These have been widely studied by Pless et al for $n = 8, 24, 32, 48$ etc. [31]. QR_8 is the well known *Octacode* generated by the matrix

$$(11) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is an $[8, 8, 4, 6]$ code of type β . The code QR_{24} is the well known *lifted Golay Code*. It is a $[24, 24, 8, 12]$ code of type β generated by the matrix

$$(12) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following theorem shows that these codes satisfy the chain condition.

Theorem 5 *Extended quadratic residue codes QR_8 and QR_{24} over \mathbb{Z}_4 satisfy the chain condition.*

Proof. It is easy to see that the weight hierarchy of the Octacode is $\{4, 5, 6, 6, 7, 7, 8, 8\}$ (see also [1]). Let $R_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, be the last four rows of the matrix (11). Let $\mathcal{D}_1 = \langle 2R_1 \rangle$, $\mathcal{D}_2 = \langle R_1, 2R_1 \rangle$, $\mathcal{D}_3 = \langle 2R_2, R_1, 2R_1 \rangle$, $\mathcal{D}_4 = \langle R_2, 2R_2, R_1, 2R_1 \rangle$, $\mathcal{D}_5 = \langle 2R_3, R_2, 2R_2, R_1, 2R_1 \rangle$, $\mathcal{D}_6 = \langle R_3, 2R_3, R_2, 2R_2, R_1, 2R_1 \rangle$, $\mathcal{D}_7 = \langle 2R_4, R_3, 2R_3, R_2, 2R_2, R_1, 2R_1 \rangle$, and $\mathcal{D}_8 = \langle R_4, 2R_4, R_3, 2R_3, R_2, 2R_2, R_1, 2R_1 \rangle$. Then it is easy to verify that $D_1 \subseteq D_2 \dots \subseteq D_8$ and $w_s(D_r) = d_r(QR_8), 1 \leq r \leq 8$. It can be seen easily that

$\{8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 16, 17, 17, 18, 18, 19, 19, 20, 20, 21, 21, 22, 22, 23, 23, 24, 24\}$ is the weight hierarchy of QR_{24} . Let $R_i, 1 \leq i \leq 12$ be the first 12 rows of (12). Let $\mathcal{D}_1 = \langle 2R_{12} \rangle$, $\mathcal{D}_2 = \langle R_{12}, 2R_{12} \rangle$, $\mathcal{D}_3 = \langle R_{12}, 2R_{12}, 2R_{11} \rangle, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{24} = \langle R_1, 2R_1, \dots, R_{12}, 2R_{12} \rangle$. Then $D_1 \subseteq D_2 \dots \subseteq D_{24}$ and $w_s(D_r) = d_r(QR_{24}), 1 \leq r \leq 24$. \square

In [7] the authors have shown that \mathbb{Z}_4 -simplex codes S_k^α and S_k^β satisfy the chain condition. The following theorem shows that their dual codes also satisfy the chain condition.

Theorem 6 *The \mathbb{Z}_4 Hamming codes of both types satisfy the chain condition.*

Proof. We prove it for $S_k^{\beta^\perp}$ as the proof for the other Hamming code is similar. By Theorem 1 (see also [7]) the weight hierarchy of $S_k^{\beta^\perp}$ is given by

$$\left\{d_r(S_k^{\beta^\perp})\right\} = \{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\} \setminus \left\{1 + \left(2^{i-1} - 2^{i-1-\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor}\right) \mid 0 \leq i < 2k\right\}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq 2^{2k} - 2^k - 2k.$$

Let H be the standard parity check matrix of S_k^β (see [7]) and let R_i be the last $2^{2k-1} - 2^{k-1} - k = n - k$ rows of H . Then it is easy to see that the required subcodes of $S_k^{\beta^\perp}$ are given by $\mathcal{D}_1 = \langle 2R_{n-k} \rangle$, $\mathcal{D}_2 = \langle 2R_{n-k}, R_{n-k} \rangle$, \dots , $\mathcal{D}_{2n-2k} = \langle 2R_{n-k}, R_{n-k}, \dots, 2R_1, R_1 \rangle$. \square

4 Conclusion and Further Work

In this article we have shown that all self-dual codes of length up to 9 satisfy the chain condition. This includes all indecomposable codes: $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{D}_4^\oplus, \mathcal{D}_6^\oplus, \mathcal{E}_7^+, \mathcal{D}_8^\oplus, \mathcal{E}_8, \mathcal{K}_8, \mathcal{K}'_8$, Octacode QR_8 and \mathcal{L}_8 and all decomposable codes: $\mathcal{D}_4^\oplus \oplus \mathcal{D}_4^\oplus$. All trivial codes of length n satisfy the chain condition. Thus our study is complete up to length 9 for codes classified in [3]. We have shown that the class of codes \mathcal{D}_{2m} , ($m = 1, 2, \dots$), Klemm Code \mathcal{K}_{4m} ($m \geq 1$) and Lifted Golay Code QR_{24} also satisfy the chain condition. In view of Theorem 6 we have the following.

Conjecture 1 *If \mathcal{C} satisfies the chain condition so does its dual \mathcal{C}^\perp .*

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank M. C. Bhandari, D. G. Glynn, T. A. Gulliver, and A. K. Lal for useful comments on the manuscript.

References

- [1] A. Ashikhmin, *On generalized hamming weights for Galois ring linear codes*. Designs, Codes and Cryptography, **14** (1998) pp. 107–126.
- [2] A. Bonnetcaze, P. Solè, C. Bachoc, and B. Mourrain. *Type II codes over \mathbb{Z}_4* . IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **43**(3):969–976, 1997.
- [3] J. H. Conway, and N. J. A. Sloane, *Self-dual codes over the integers modulo 4*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **62** (1993), 30–45.

- [4] C. Charnes. *Hadamard matrices, self-dual codes over the integers modulo 4 and their gray images*. Proceedings SETA-98, Disc. Math. and Theoretical Computer Science, (1998), 171–183.
- [5] M. K. Gupta, M. C. Bhandari, and A. K. Lal, *A note on linear codes over \mathbb{Z}_{2^s}* . IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, (submitted).
- [6] M. K. Gupta *On some linear codes over \mathbb{Z}_{2^s}* . PhD Thesis, IIT Kanpur, India (July 2000).
- [7] M. C. Bhandari, M. K. Gupta, and A. K. Lal, *On \mathbb{Z}_4 simplex codes and their gray images*. Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms and Error-Correcting Codes, AAEECC-13, Lecture Notes in Computer Science **vol 1719** (1999), 170–180.
- [8] A. R. Hammons, P. V. Kumar, A. R. Calderbank, N. J. A. Sloane, and P. Solé. *The \mathbb{Z}_4 -linearity of kerdock, preparata, goethals, and related codes*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **40**(2):301–319, 1994.
- [9] M. Harada. *New extremal type II codes over \mathbb{Z}_4* . Designs, Codes and Cryptography, **13**:271–284, 1998.
- [10] M. Klemm, *Selbstduale codes über dem ring der ganzen zahlen modulo 4*. Archiv. Math, **53** (1989), 201–207.
- [11] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, **The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes**. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [12] G. D. Forney, *Dimension length profiles and trellis complexities of linear block codes*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **40** (6) (1994) 1741–1752.
- [13] S. Encheva and G. Cohen, *On the state complexities of ternary codes*. Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms and Error-Correcting Codes, AAEECC-13, Lecture Notes in Computer Science **vol 1719** (1999), 454–461.
- [14] S. Encheva, and G. D. Cohen *Projective codes which satisfy the chain condition*. Appl. Math. Lett. **13** (4) (2000), 109–113.
- [15] S. B. Encheva, and G. D. Cohen *Linear codes and their coordinate ordering*. Design Code Cryptogr. **20** (3) (2000), 229–250.
- [16] S. B. Encheva, and G. D. Cohen *On linear projective codes which satisfy the chain condition*. Inform. Sciences **118** (1-4) (1999), 213–222.
- [17] W. D. Chen, and T. Klove *Weight hierarchies of extremal non-chain binary codes of dimension*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **45** (1) (1999), 276–281.

- [18] S. Encheva *On repeated-root cyclic codes and the two-way chain condition*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science **1255**: (1997), 78–87.
- [19] S. Encheva, and G. Cohen *Self-orthogonal codes and their coordinate ordering*. IEICE T. Fund. Electr. E80A: **(11)** (1997), 2256–2259.
- [20] T. Klove *On codes satisfying the double chain condition*. Discrete Math. **175**: **(1-3)** (1997), 173–195.
- [21] W. Chen, and T. Klove *Bounds on the weight hierarchies of linear codes of dimension 4*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **43**: **(6)** (1997), 2047–2054.
- [22] W. D. Chen, and T. Klove *Bounds on the weight hierarchies of extremal non-chain codes of dimension 4*. Appl. Algebr. Eng. Comm. **8**: **(5)** (1997), 379–386.
- [23] P. Heijnen, and R. Pellikaan *Generalized hamming weights of q -ary Reed-Müller codes*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **44**: **(1)** (1998), 181–196.
- [24] S. B. Encheva *On binary linear codes which satisfy the two-way chain condition*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **42**: **(3)** (1996), 1038–1047.
- [25] T. Helleseht, and T. Klove *The weight hierarchies of some product codes*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **42**: **(3)** (1996), 1029–1034.
- [26] T. Helleseht, and P. V. Kumar *The weight hierarchy of the Kasami Codes*. Discrete Math. **145**: **(1-3)** (1995), 133–143.
- [27] H. Horimoto and K. Shiromoto, *On generalized Hamming weights for codes over finite chain rings*, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* (2001), (to appear).
- [28] G. D. Cohen, S. B. Encheva, and G. Zemor *Antichain codes*. Design Code Cryptogr. **18**: **(1-3)** (1999), 71–80.
- [29] J. Fields, P. Gaborit, J. S. Leon, and V. Pless. *All self-dual codes of length 15 or less are known*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **44**(1):311–322, 1998.
- [30] V. Pless, J. S. Leon, and J. Fields. *All \mathbb{Z}_4 codes of type II and length 16 are known*. Jr. Comb. Theory, **Series A** **78**:32–50, 1997.
- [31] V. Pless, P. Solé, and Z. Qian. *Cyclic self-dual \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes*. Finite Fields and Their Applications, **3**:48–69, 1997.
- [32] E. M. Rains and N. J. A. Sloane. *Self-Dual Codes : The Handbook of Coding Theory*. North-Holland, New York, 1998.

- [33] A. Vardy. *Trellis structure of codes: The Handbook of Coding Theory* North-Holland, New York, 1998.
- [34] V. V. Vazirani, H. Saran, and B. S. Rajan. *An efficient algorithm for constructing minimal trellises for codes over finite abelian groups*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **42**(6):1839–1854, 1996.
- [35] V. K. Wei and K. Yang. *On the generalized hamming weights of product codes*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **39**(5):1709–1713, 1991.